Gyan Manthan
Journal homepage: www.gyanmanthan.org ISSN: **3108-3455**

An Overview of Business Incubators among Higher Education Institutes in Madhya Pradesh

Dr. Kavita Kasliwal
Associate Professor,
Arihant College Indore, Madhya Pradesh

Prateek Jain
Research Scholar
Institute of Management Studies, DAVV Indore, Madhya Pradesh

Dr. Minaxi Kataria
Assistant Professor,
Arihant College Indore, Madhya Pradesh

Abstract

Business incubators have become a pivotal element in fostering innovation and entrepreneurship within higher education institutions across India. These incubators serve as nurturing grounds where budding entrepreneurs, primarily students and faculty members, can transform their innovative ideas into viable businesses. The present paper identifies the various incubator centers operating in Madhya Pradesh, their role in higher education institutes (HEIs) in Madhya Pradesh, and also identifies the challenges faced by these cells. For the purpose data is collected from Higher Education Institutes Operating in Madhya Pradesh. A sample size of n=500 were selected and Chi-square test is used to analysis the data. The finding of the study shows various challenges faced by incubators like limited access to funding & financial resources, lack of adequate infrastructure, lack of support and mentorship, regulatory barriers and bureaucratic process and many more.

Keywords: Business Incubators, Higher education Institutes, Madhya Pradesh, Entrepreneurship, infrastructure.

1. Introduction

In India, the rise of business incubators in universities aligns with the government's push towards a Start-up India movement and the increasing emphasis on skill development and innovation. Incubation can be defined as "As an act of enhancing the environment for startups and early-stage companies or businesses providing the necessary tools, equipment and support to help them succeed". These incubators typically provide critical resources such as mentorship, funding access, infrastructure, and networking opportunities, which are often scarce for early-stage startups. By embedding incubation centers within educational institutions, India is leveraging its vast pool of

young talent to drive economic growth and technological advancement. Moreover, higher education incubators often foster interdisciplinary collaboration, bringing together students from engineering, management, science, and other fields. This multidisciplinary approach enriches the startup ideas and improves their chances of success in competitive markets. As a result, business incubators in Indian universities are not only creating startups but also cultivating an entrepreneurial mindset among the youth, which is essential for the country's long-term sustainable development. The synergy between academia and industry facilitated by these incubators not only accelerates the commercialization of research but also helps in building an entrepreneurial ecosystem on campuses. The aim of present study is to the various incubator centers operating in Madhya Pradesh, their role in higher education institutes (HEIs) in Madhya Pradesh, and also to identify the challenges faced by these cells.

Business Incubators Madhya Pradesh

Madhya Pradesh is making remarkable progress in the startup ecosystem by strengthening its network of incubators and incubation centers. The state hosts a diverse range of incubation facilities, including the MP Startup Centre in Bhopal, AIC-RNTU in Raisen, and the Srijan Incubation Center in Indore, among others. A detailed study of selected incubators and incubation cells operating within the state:

- **The MP Startup Centre (Bhopal):** established by the Government of Madhya Pradesh, plays a pivotal role in supporting the state's startup ecosystem by offering a range of incentives, resources, and support services to emerging entrepreneurs.
- **Jabalpur Incubation Center (Jabalpur):** This center is operated by Jabalpur Smart City Limited which is managed by Incubation Masters.
- **Indore Smart Seed Incubation Centre (Indore):** The Indore Smart Seed Incubation Center, in partnership with CIIE.CO (IIM Ahmedabad), primarily focuses on incubating and funding startups, fostering innovation and entrepreneurial growth in the region.
- **DAVV Incubation Centre (Indore):** DAVV Innovation and Incubation Center (DAVV-IC) is dedicated to fostering a dynamic ecosystem for technology incubation and entrepreneurship. Supported by the Department of Science & Technology (DST), it is recognized as a NIDHI-Inclusive Technology Business Incubator (NIDHI-iTBI). The center's mission aligns with national priorities, with a focus on job creation, wealth generation, and sustainable business development.
- **Satna Incubation Center (Satna):** The center fosters local entrepreneurship by supporting startups with mentorship, infrastructure, funding, and promoting inclusive regional economic development.

2. Review of literature

Loganathan & Bala Subrahmanya, (2021) A study on university-based incubators in Karnataka and Tamil Nadu highlights their role in supporting start-ups focused on technology-driven products and services. It finds that while incubator resources may not directly yield firm-level advantages, they foster intermediary outcomes such as enhanced technology development capabilities. Success is influenced by factors like incubator specialization, alignment with the university's knowledge base, and access to networking services—providing valuable insights for early-stage tech start-ups and incubation programs.

Prokop, (2021) This study examines how structural and spatial configurations affect spin-off development in four UK university entrepreneurial ecosystems. Based on interviews with key stakeholders, it finds that connectedness and filtration processes—shaped by geography—drive outcomes. Well-calibrated ecosystems with strong local and interregional ties yield more successful university spin-offs.

Liu et al., (2021) explores the key elements and development process of university-based entrepreneurship education ecosystems. Interviews with thirty stakeholders from US universities were conducted and analyzed using grounded theory. The study reveals that the key elements of the ecosystem consist of six units acting as initiators and seven factors acting as intermediaries. These elements form three functional subsystems interconnected by universities. The development process involves seven steps, and suggestions for sustainability are provided to university administrators and policymakers. The findings contribute to understanding the dynamics and construction strategies of these ecosystems, emphasizing the importance of higher education in fostering social and economic development.

Maritz et al., (2021) This study examines how university startup accelerators align with institutional strategic intent using emergent inquiry and Leximancer analysis. Findings reveal a mismatch due to inconsistent management practices. It offers insights for improving alignment and effectiveness, benefiting researchers, practitioners, and university leaders in supporting entrepreneurial learning and startup development.

Kandakatla et al., (2021) explores the initiatives and policies launched by the government of India to promote entrepreneurship and innovation in higher education institutions (HEIs). With the vision of Aatma nirbhar India, the government aims to make India economically self-reliant and achieve a USD 5 trillion economy by 2025.The Ministry of Education and other central organizations have introduced various strategic policies aligned with fostering an ecosystem for entrepreneurship and innovation in HEIs. The National Startup and Innovation Policy, New Education Policy (NEP), Smart India Hackathon, ARIAA (National IEranking), and other initiatives are discussed. Case studies of successful HEIs that have leveraged these government efforts to nurture entrepreneurship and

innovation are presented. The potential of HEIs in contributing to India's self-reliance in the post-pandemic world is emphasized.

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Objective of the study

- To study the challenges faced by the incubators.

3.2 Hypothesis of the study

- Incubators face no significant challenges in supporting and nurturing entrepreneurial ventures in higher educational institutions.

3.3 Research Design

A descriptive research design is used in the present study. Data is collected with the help of self-administered questionnaire using five point Likert scale. A sample size of 500 was collected from the target population of undergraduate and postgraduate students enrolled in HEIs in Madhya Pradesh. The present study uses the survey method for the purpose of collecting the data using convince sampling technique.

4. Data analysis

Table no 1. Shows results of Chi-Square Test

Items	Chi-Square	df	Asymp. Sig.	
1. Limited access to funding and financial resources poses a significant challenge for incubators in supporting startup ventures.	170.800[a]	4	.000	Significant
2. Incubators struggle to attract high-potential startups with innovative ideas and scalable business models.	216.760[a]	4	.000	Significant
3. The lack of adequate infrastructure and physical space hinders the capacity of incubators to accommodate and support multiple start-up teams simultaneously.	212.980[a]	4	.000	Significant
4. Incubators face difficulties in providing ongoing support and mentorship to start-ups beyond the initial stages of incubation.	160.260[a]	4	.000	Significant

5. Regulatory barriers and bureaucratic processes create delays and obstacles for start-ups seeking assistance from incubators.	229.840[a]	4	.000	Significant
6. Incubators must navigate complex intellectual property rights and legal issues when working with start-up ventures.	403.420[a]	4	.000	Significant
7. Competition from other incubators and accelerator programs within the region or industry sector limits collaboration and resource-sharing opportunities.	224.760[a]	4	.000	Significant
8. Changes in market conditions or economic downturns impact the availability of funding and investment opportunities for start-ups associated with incubators.	276.840[a]	4	.000	Significant
9. Incubators face challenges in balancing the need for autonomy and independence among start-up founders with the support and guidance provided by mentors and advisors.	292.660[a]	4	.000	Significant
10. The success of incubators depends on their ability to build strong partnerships and networks with stakeholders from academia, industry, government, and the investment community.	322.560[a]	4	.000	Significant

4.1 Interpretation: The Chi-Square analysis results highlight significant challenges faced by incubators in supporting start-up ventures, emphasizing financial, infrastructural, regulatory, and organizational hurdles. Limited access to funding and financial resources (Chi-Square = 170.800, df = 4, $p < .0001$) is a critical issue, as many incubators struggle to provide adequate capital to start-ups. Similarly, difficulty in attracting high-potential start-ups (Chi-Square = 216.760, df = 4, $p < .0001$)

suggests that incubators face challenges in engaging innovative entrepreneurs with scalable business models.

The lack of adequate infrastructure and physical space (Chi-Square = 212.980, df = 4, $p < .0001$) significantly affects incubators' ability to accommodate multiple start-ups, limiting their capacity for fostering innovation. Additionally, challenges in providing on-going support and mentorship (Chi-Square = 160.260, df = 4, $p < .0001$) indicate that while initial incubation may be effective, sustaining long-term guidance for start-ups remains problematic.

Regulatory barriers and bureaucratic processes (Chi-Square = 229.840, df = 4, $p < .0001$) create substantial delays and obstacles, making it difficult for start-ups to navigate legal frameworks and compliance requirements. Likewise, complex intellectual property rights and legal issues (Chi-Square = 403.420, df = 4, $p < .0001$) highlight a critical challenge in ensuring that startups have the necessary legal protections without facing excessive legal complexities.

Competition among incubators and accelerators (Chi-Square = 224.760, df = 4, $p < .0001$) further complicates the startup ecosystem, as overlapping programs often limit collaboration and resource-sharing opportunities. Additionally, the impact of market conditions and economic downturns (Chi-Square = 276.840, df = 4, $p < .0001$) demonstrates that external economic factors heavily influence funding availability and investment opportunities for incubator-supported start-ups.

The balance between autonomy and support for start-up founders (Chi-Square = 292.660, df = 4, $p < .0001$) presents another challenge, as incubators must provide guidance while ensuring entrepreneurs maintain independence in their decision-making. Lastly, dependence on partnerships and networks (Chi-Square = 322.560, df = 4, $p < .0001$) highlights the importance of building strong alliances with academia, industry, government, and investors to sustain incubator success.

Overall, these findings underscore the need for improved funding mechanisms, stronger regulatory frameworks, enhanced mentorship structures, and better networking opportunities to create a sustainable and effective incubation ecosystem for start-up ventures.

4.2 Findings and suggestions: Higher education institutions play a pivotal role in fostering entrepreneurship, yet many incubators remain underutilized due to structural inefficiencies, lack of mentoring, and limited funding access. To improve incubator effectiveness, institutions should:

- **Provide Structured Mentorship Programs**: Partnering with industry experts, venture capitalists, and successful entrepreneurs can help guide students through real-world business challenges.
- **Enhance Funding Accessibility:** Creating dedicated seed funds and startup grants specifically for student-led initiatives will provide financial support at the ideation and development stages.
- **Diversify Incubator Models:** Institutions should offer sector-specific incubators (e.g., tech startups, social enterprises, fintech) tailored to different entrepreneurial needs.
- **Facilitate Interdisciplinary Collaboration**: Encouraging students from diverse academic backgrounds to work together within incubators can foster innovation and problem-solving.

5. Conclusion: To study the challenges faced by Incubators is crucial for understanding the dynamics and effectiveness of these organizations in nurturing start-ups and fostering entrepreneurship. Chi-square test is the tool used in studying the challenges faced by incubators. The study helps in identify the various challenges faced by incubators such as Limited access to funding and financial resources, The lack of adequate infrastructure and physical space, Lack of support and mentorship, Regulatory barriers and bureaucratic processes, Complex intellectual property rights and legal issues, Competition among incubators and accelerators, impact of market conditions and economic downturns, Balance between autonomy and support for start-up founders, and Dependence on partnerships and networks.

References

- Loganathan, M., & Bala Subrahmanya, M. H. (2021). Technological outcome achievements by start-ups at university-based incubators: an empirical analysis in the Indian context. Technology Analysis & Strategic Management, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/09537325.2021.1940921.
- Prokop, D. (2021). University entrepreneurial ecosystems and spinoff companies: Configurations, developments and outcomes. Technovation, 107, 102286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2021.102286
- Liu, H., Kulturel-Konak, S., & Konak, A. (2021). Key Elements and Their Roles in Entrepreneurship Education Ecosystem: Comparative Review and Suggestions for Sustainability. Sustainability, 13(19), 10648. https://doi.org/10.3390/su131910648
- Maritz, A., Nguyen, Q. A., Shrivastava, A., & Ivanov, S. (2022). University accelerators and entrepreneurship education in Australia: substantive and symbolic motives. Education + Training. https://doi.org/10.1108/et-08-2021-0325
- Maritz, A., Nguyen, Q., & Hsieh, H.-M. (2021). Exploring the Strategic Intent and Practices of University Accelerators: A Case of Australia. Sustainability, 13(19), 10769. https://doi.org/10.3390/su131910769
- Kandakatla, Rohit & Aluvalu, Rajanikanth & Devireddy, Sreedevi & Kulkarni, Nitin & Joshi, Gopal. (2021). Role of Indian Higher Education Institutions towards Aatmanirbhar India: Government Policies and Initiatives to promote Entrepreneurship and Innovation. 8-14. 10.1109/WEEF/GEDC53299.2021.9657261.